



POINT OF VIEW

Michael McKibbin

Indexing Terms: health care, establishment, chiropractic, subluxation

Epitaph Part One

In 1947 Australia had many well-attended versions of Christian denominations. A Christian brother had beaten Christianity out of me.

I did not want to adopt another religion. Instead, I looked for an architect of our orderly universe, our unique Earth and, our world's intriguingly fascinating plants and animals.

Decades later, a car accident resulted in, among other symptoms, profound fatigue. I had months of failed medical treatment of my symptoms, with no attempt to correct their cause.

I consulted a chiropractor, he asked me what the GP found when he examined my spine and viewed my x-rays. I told him that the GP neither touched me nor ordered x-rays.

The chiropractor's spinal examination revealed areas of marked tenderness and fixations. His spinal x-rays revealed misalignments. We agreed to a trial series of adjustments.

An amazing surge of energy accompanied my first adjustment. I congratulated him for causing that. His reply changed the course of my life.



He explained that billions of galaxies coming into existence and functioning in an orderly manner during four and a half billion years evidences a universal intelligence. Likewise, the process where two cells unite to evolve into some 37 trillion human cells that function for decades requires an inborn intelligence.

He further explained that we all have an inborn or innate intelligence overseeing all of the functions of the body. Innate uses a vast array of symptoms to announce the presence of subluxations and when a chiropractor removes the subluxation, Innate restores function and removes the symptom.

Chiropractic philosophy led to my going to the USA to becoming a chiropractor.

Before going to the USA, I revisited my GP to tell him that a chiropractor had found and relieved the cause of my symptoms. I accepted Medicine's PR image '*the health of my patient will be my first consideration*,' so I expected that he would be delighted with my news.

Instead, as I told him my good news his face went red, he lost his self-control and in a prolonged, heated outburst he condemned chiropractic as a profession peopled by charlatans who created harm and no good while profiting by convincing patients that they feel better. He suggested that my recovery proved my symptoms were imaginary. His display shattered my trust in him.

Turning now to my student years at Palmer College in Davenport Iowa: the cafeteria hummed with stories of student accounts of remarkable recoveries and of organised medicine prosecuting chiropractors.

Prosecutions were possible because of monopoly laws such as we had in WA, '*Anything that concerns a treatment or advice for treatment of bodily ailments is a medical service*.' (1) In the USA many chiropractors had defied those monopoly laws and served prison sentences for practising medicine without a license.

Both parents of the student who I sat next to me in class had served time. The price paid by US chiropractors for the removal of those laws was some 3,200-prison terms. Many of those brave people visited the college to tell us of their horrific experiences.

In the 1960's the US AMA funded what later became a court proven conspiracy (referred to as the *Iowa Plan*) to first contain and to eventually eliminate philosophy-subluxation based chiropractic in the USA and elsewhere.

Eliminating a global chiropractic 'industry' now worth a guesstimated USD \$34 billion pa will create the opportunity to ignore humanity's subluxation while treating the subluxation related symptom/s for as long as they last.

Internationally, organised medicine controls a monopoly over the structure and function of public health. The current elimination of Australia's philosophy-subluxation-based chiropractic requires and gets the cooperation of government and media.

As part of the *Iowa Plan*, medical associations in various countries, including Australia, declared that is unethical for members to professionally associate with a chiropractor, on the pretence of protecting patient safety. Patients remain unaware that this is a profit-motivated global boycott.

Patient safety became a focus of my attention when I realised that all governments conceal their nation's true total death toll due to medical treatment as distinct from the patient's disorder, their iatrogenic toll, and collectively the world's iatrogenic pandemic.

1. Bradbury v Jayawardana – BC9301255

Australian author John Archer's book *Bad Medicine* referred to a guesstimated 50,000 iatrogenic deaths pa, in the medical literature. They are not defined in our government's report *Cause of Death*.

In 2009 the ABS defined Australia's annual iatrogenic death toll at 259. That stunning shortfall happened because:

- ▶ Seemingly, the WHO guidelines for signing death certificates permit the substitution of non-iatrogenic causes of death on death certificates
- ▶ The government fails to ensure that all iatrogenic deaths are reported truthfully, and
- ▶ The ICD10 classification system is not designed to accurately define Australia's total iatrogenic toll.

The US government has not responded to the report by Null et al that guesstimated the US iatrogenic death toll to be an astounding 783,936 per year. According to the BMJ, July 17, 1999 '*Studies in Australia, Israel, the United Kingdom and elsewhere suggest levels of error and hazard in patient care that are no lower than in America.*'

Chiropractors are legally restricted from stating that medicine is more dangerous than chiropractic or that chiropractic is safer than medicine.

Turning to qualifications: In the *question on notice* 8th November 1988 (1756) the then WA Minister for Health claimed that '*registration of the profession is aimed principally at protecting the public against the activity of untrained and under-qualified persons ...*'

In the research paper '*Spinal manipulation in Australia: To what extent does legislation protect the public and profession*' (CJA Vol 34, 4) the authors point out that a 3 month, full-time course in manipulation for physiotherapists was inadequate and upgraded the course to a 12-month full-time course. Physiotherapists in most jurisdictions only allow the use of the term '*Manipulative Physiotherapist*' after completing a 12-month full-time course in the techniques. Chiropractors agree with this approach as being the very minimum.

The federal government has gifted physiotherapists and medical practitioners with the legal right to do what chiropractors do, without a requirement of any further training.

Michael McKibbin

DC

Columnist

michaelr@globaldial.com

Cite: McKibbin M. Points to ponder. Epitaph Part One [Column]. Asia-Pac Chiropr J. 2021;1.3. URL www.apcj.net/mckibbin-epitaph-part-1/