





# **Points to ponder**

 $T \ he \ public \ know \ virtually \ nothing \ about \ 1) \ the \ Establishment's \ concealment \ of \ Australia's \ true \ total \ iatrogenic \ death \ toll. \ 2) \ Its \ promotion \ of \ the \ containment \ and \ elimination \ of \ philosophy-subluxation \ based \ chiropractic.$ 

Individual validations of chiropractic occur when a new patient experiences a successful chiropractic outcome. Often, patients are incredulous when the persons own body restores their own malfunctioning body component to normal function.



No drugs, no surgery, locate and correct the subluxation and the power that made the body now heals the body.

At that stage it becomes self-evident that chiropractic was the patient's management of choice.

Many patients suspect that there is a conflict between the medical profession's unselfish public image and their doctor's obvious protection of her/his own business interest.

Few know about the BIG picture. Worldwide this service attracts over \$30 Billion US dollars per year. That market share has attracted organised medicine to conspire to contain and eliminate chiropractic in the USA and elsewhere.

## Effectiveness

Australia's 1977 Webb Report showed most chiropractic patients share a history of failed medical treatment and later having received successful chiropractic care for that same disorder.

#### Trustworthiness

Organised medicine has waged decades of relentless attacks against chiropractic, under the pretext of protecting public and patient interest, making it untrustworthy in dealings with chiropractic.

## The intensity of medical opposition to chiropractic

A 1979 New Zealand government Report variously described medical opposition to chiropractic as being "remorseless and unrelenting" iv and "intense and absolute".

## Misuse of medical ethics

It is some fifty years since medical associations in various countries imposed ethic based boycotts upon professional association between members and chiropractors.

## Restraint of trade

A US court declared the US ethic based boycott to be illegal. Court evidence exposed a medical conspiracy, based on the Iowa Plan, to first contain and eventually eliminate the chiropractic profession. The chiropractor's lawyer assured me that it was globally aimed at, 'the USA and elsewhere.'

## The Iowa Plan in Australia

On 27/6/2013 the president of the Chiropractors' Association of Australia commented ' ... *Chiropractic is under relentless attack by well-connected and relentless opponents ...*'

Australia's Government cooperation in eliminating philosophy-subluxation based chiropractic

When the Iowa Plan came into effect Iowa's Palmer Chiropractic College taught philosophysubluxation based chiropractic. The Iowa Plan aimed to contain and eliminate that.

Our government has substituted a medicalised version of chiropractic into the National law, effectively legally, eliminating philosophy-subluxation based chiropractic.

### Australia's Government

Gave support to both the containment and elimination of philosophy-subluxation based chiropractic.

## Patient safety

In 1976 Ivan Illich published '*Medical Nemesis*' correctly predicting epidemics of deaths and permanent harm arising from medical treatment as distinct from the patient's disorder.

### Concealment

Public patient safety depends on public awareness of our continuing iatrogenic epidemic. For decades, government, media and medicine have concealed its true magnitude.

## Conflicting iatrogenic tolls

In 1995 public domain medical literature implied Australia's annual iatrogenic death toll was around 50,000i. A government guesstimate of the iatrogenic toll was 259ii.

#### Ignorance

Ministers and Shadow Ministers for Health, AHPRA the body overseeing health care professions and the medical Board are all ignorant of the magnitude of Australia's iatrogenic epidemic.

## Forewarning

A decades long iatrogenic toll has accumulated to exceed Australia's war dead. Citizens have a right to ask: When many more will die or be permanently harmed, why is there no adequate forewarning of their impending peril?

#### Evidence based medicine

Never evidences its own iatrogenic death toll.

'The case against science is straightforward: much of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue'.

The National Law serves to wrongfully restrict chiropractic to a few evidence based chiropractic disorders. By its nature Chiropractic is not evidence based. Most subluxation related disorders may never be 'evidence based.

No government imposed regulation restricts Medicine to only 'evidence-based Medicine'.

Michael McKibbin DC Columnist michaelr@globaldial.com

*Cite:* McKibbin M. Points to ponder [Column]. Asia-Pac Chiropr J. 2020;1.2:Online only. URL <u>https://apcj.rocketsparkau.com/points-to-ponder--mckibben/</u>

Indexing Terms: health care, establishment, chiropractic, subluxation